P

Previous references.

File No. S 74-12

1913

Serial Nos. 1-2, 4-6

(S to a)

Later references.

S to a S 74-12 of 8 to 9 B Dec 13.

S to A 295 Serial No 142 for March 1914.

2

S.P. 10-42 (4 to 5)

S.P. 4-44 (1 to 3)

(2)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-2, 4-6

Part B. for September 13

SUBJECT.

Chitaldrug Pallegar Family Pensions

From ~~Mrs~~ Eswaramma Nagati
Petn Dr 4.12.12.

Prays that the orders passed in G.O. Dr 18-9-12 may be reconsidered and a sum of Rs 15 p.m be granted to her.

To the Khazana Bakshi
no 7c 6713 Dr 13-5-13

G. R. S. 58 Forwards the petition to him with a request to hold an enquiry & submit a report in the matter.

4. From the Khazana Bakshi
no 1395 dt 13.8.13.

Submits his report on the petition

5 To Khazana Bakshi ₹
no ₹1674 dt 20.9.13.

Sanctions to Eswaramma Nagathi a monthly allowance of Rs 10-9-7 both for maintenance + residence in modification of the previous G.O.

6 To Eswaramma Nagathi
no ₹1675 dt 20.9.13

Informs her of the grant of the allowance of Rs 10-9-7.

B.L. Fols
10.12.13

G.R.S. 11] 1912.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(SA)

NOTES.

File No. 54/12

FILE HEADING.

Chitaldrug Pallepar Family Pensions.

From Mrs: Eeswaramma Nafatni of Chitaldrug Pallepar Family

No. Petition Dated 6.12.12 Received 13.XII.12.

Diary No. 206	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Prays that the order passed in G.O. of 18.9.12 declining to grant her enhanced allowance for maintenance may be reconsidered and a Sum of Rs 15/- a month may be granted to her.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

M

S + R

1) Mrs. Eeswaramma Nafatni's prayer for the increase of maintenance allowance of Rs 8/- p.m. Sanctioned to her in G.O. No. H. 860-1/SH.257-10 of 25.8.XI was twice rejected by Govt. in H. No 4982 of 18.5.12 & H. No 1174 of 18-9.12

She

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPB—30,000—12-8-11

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SA File No. 54 of 1912

Serial No.

X, Y, Z.

2) She again prays that the allowance for maintenance sanctioned is quite inadequate & a sum of Rs 15/- p.m. may be sanctioned to her.

3). In support of her claim, she quotes certain cases wherein Govt. have sanctioned liberal allowances to certain widows of the Pallepar families for their maintenance.

4) Submitted for orders.

MLL-85 BLW 23.1.13 5) The petn now presented is in all essentials the same that presented to the Late Dewan who after looking into the file rejected the petn after giving specific reasons. My predecessor Mr. Krishna Rao. There are no new grounds alleged and the petn has not succeeded to uphold that the orders issued are final & further representations will not be heeded. Please put up draft.

24.1.13

6) Draft- Submitted.

MLL-85 BLW 25.1.13

7) This is presumably dealt with

25-1-13

NOTE—Continue sheet

GPB—65,000—7-8-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SJA File No. 54 of 1912

Serial No.

under the Pensions Act of 1871. (1) Have any rules been framed under it? If so, they may be put up (if not) any standing orders of Govt. relating to the matter:—

Draft 29/1

8) Sub.

(1) No rules have been issued under the Pensions Act of 1871 as in force in Mysore.

(2) There are no standing orders relating to the subject. These cases are decided according to precedents in each case.

Dy Secy (9) 4/2/13

9) On 7/12/12 His Highness was pleased to direct on the petition that the papers be submitted with a draft reply. The papers are submitted herewith & orders are solicited regarding the course to be adopted.

10) The petr was given a maintenance allowance of Rs 8 per mensem; other representations for its increase were twice rejected by the Govt. The one now made is the third of the kind.

S & R (2)

11) The amount of Palleyar pension is Rs 84-12-8 per mensem, (out of which Rs 8 were allowed to the petr) She complains that as stepmother she is entitled to larger amount of maintenance vs

NOTE.—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SH File No. 54 of 1912

Serial No.

Rs 15 per mensem and also prays for a remission.

1) The sum of Rs 8 was assessed on the chief consideration that the stepson had debts; but these are denied by the petr. No enquiry into the truth of the matter appears to have been held and without it, it is not possible to deal with the contention satisfactorily.

13) The Huzur Treasury Mear may be asked to enquire into the matter of the petition fully & submit a report for orders:-

Dewan). D. M. Narasinga Char 13/2/13

1383 / 13.2.13

14) This may be done 3.5.13 Ro

15) Draft ready 6/5 Draft 6/5/13

Dft. ribd 6/5

16) Represented. Dewan 8/5/13

2 To Khazana Bakshi. S. H. Dy: no H 6713 Dt 13-5-13 forwards the petition to him with a request to hold an enquiry & submit a report in the matter.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SJA File No. 54 of 1912

Serial No.

4

From the Khazana Bakshi,
no. 139 dy 13 8 13.
Submits his report on the petition

17 The Khazana Bakshi has found against the petitioner on ~~advance~~ all the points urged by her, except 'illtreatment'

18. Regarding the alleged existence of debts due by Nadakere Naik, which is the ground on which the grant to the petr was declined to be enhanced, it is stated that the petr reluctantly admits their existence, but says they were contracted for immoral purposes. The Khazana Bakshi doubts if, in deciding the question under reference, it is necessary to consider the cause of indebtedness, when the fact of indebtedness is admitted. The statements of Easwaramma and Nadakere Naik, the former taken on personal enquiry, and the latter sent by post as the man could not present himself on the appointed day, are in the file

19 In the last para of his letter the Khazana Bakshi reiterates the principle already enunciated by him for regulating the grant of maintenance in such cases, and so far as Easwaramma's case is concerned he thinks that the amount already granted (viz Rs 8/-) may be increased to Rs 12/- It may be mentioned

R

Para 5 of the Petn under disposal no 111

9 & V

W
(SJA 257-10-34)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SRA File No. 54 of 1912

Serial No.

that he recommended only Rs 10/- before, but even this was not sanctioned, Rs 8/- being considered sufficient. (Vide Govt no 7860-1-SRA 257-10-35, and the Asst Secy's note dy 3.8.11 on page 5 of the file relating thereto).

20 Attention is invited to Sir Seshadri Iyer's note dy 5.11.1886 quoted on page 5 of the office notes in SRA 257-10 regarding the grant of maintenance allowance to widows. Under that note, the maximum amount admissible to the petitioner is Rs 10-9-7.

21 Submitted for orders. The Private Secretary to H.H. has called for the submission of papers in the case; vide linked file.

Z.1 (SRA 54-12-3)

SRA 121 2418 SN 22. Mr Jacob has recommended a pension of Rs 8/- was sanctioned on the ground of indebtedness of the petitioner. There seems no ground to justify a reopening of the case.

By Secy 4.8.12

(23) Two facts are brought to light by the inquiry viz (i) the petr was ill-treated by her Step son & obliged to leave the family house & (ii) the Step son has not cared to refute the allegation that his debts were contracted for immoral purposes. Both these factors have a bearing on the amount of maintenance to be fixed for the widowed mother.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-5-12.

F. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | SJA File No. 54 of 1912

Serial No.

24 The Huzur Treasury officer originally recommended Rs 10 for the pch ont only Rs 8 were sanctioned. Now, he recommends Rs 12.

25 In view of the facts of the case, the simple rule laid down by Sir Sesha dri Iyer may be adopted: viz ½ the of the pension may be granted as pension allowance to widows except where it falls below Rs 4:

26. According to this, the pch may be given Rs 10-9-7 per mensem, the pension amount being Rs 84-12-8 per mensem. This will also meet her claim to residence.

Dewan

Dewan 23/8

1484/ 25-8-13

27 I agree.

28 As however the papers have been called for by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja, a note may be put up and the case re-submitted.

28-8-13.

Dewan 2/9

5064 2-9-13

29 A draft Note to put up

Dewan

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

Submitted to His Highness.

2) I submit the file of papers relating to the prayer of Easwaramma Nagathi of the Chitaldrug Pollegar Family, as called for in the Private Secretary's Memo No.3995, dated 18th April 1913.

Z1 in

3) Out of the pension of Rs.84-12-8 granted to her step son, a pension of Rs.8 was sanctioned to Easwaramma Nagathi in Government Order No.Fl.860-1/S & A.257-10-35, dated the 25th August 1911. She applied for the enhancement of the pension twice, but her prayer was rejected by Government. She has for the third time approached Government, claiming that as step-mother she is entitled to a larger amount of pension, viz.,.Rs.15 per mensem, and also to a residence.

P in file 257 of 10

3.) The sum of Rs.8 referred to was assessed on the chief consideration that the step-son had debts. Easwaramma having denied this fact in her petition, the Khazana Bakshi of the State Huzur Treasury was asked to enquire into the points urged by the petitioner and submit a report for the orders of Government.

P under i.o proc. III

3) Two facts are brought to light by the enquiry held by the Khazana Bakshi, viz.,

(i) the petitioner was ill-treated by her step-son and was obliged to leave the family house, and

(ii) the step-son has not cared to refute the allegation that his debts were contracted for immoral purposes.

Both these ~~facts~~ factors have a bearing on the amount of pension to be fixed for the widowed step-mother. The Khazana Bakshi recommends that the pension already sanctioned to

her may be enhanced to Rs.12 per mensem.

3rd Regarding the grant of maintenance allowance to widows, Sir Seshadri Iyer has, in his minute dated 5th November 1886, laid down the rule as follows:-

"In future cases the separate maintenance awarded to widows should not ordinarily exceed an eighth of the family pension except where such one-eighth is less than Rs.4."

N.(in old file no. 71).

4th In view of the facts of Easwaramma's case, I propose to give the petitioner the benefit of this rule and grant to her a pension of Rs.10-9-7 to meet her claim to both maintenance and residence.

M. Viswanatha
9th Sep. 1913.

BR

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja

Subject.

36) Prayer of Easwaramma Nagathi of the Chitaldrug Pollegar Family for enhanced pension.

M. 245

37) *Order.*

~~KRW~~

12/9/13

Secretary

14.9.13.

KC

B ii

15/9 Dewan 15/9

Draft by 15/9

HPB

15/9

P.T.9

[G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

S & A File No. 54 of 1912-

Serial No.

Drafts are put up. The increase will have effect from the date of the order.

HMM-67 SN
16/9/13 17/9/13

Approved. Slightly revised

Issue
18.9.13

5 To Khazana Bakshi, S.H. Treasury, Bangalore

Fl. 1674 & 20.9.'13

In modification of G.O. of 25.8.1911, directs that the monthly allowance of Rs 8/- paid to Eeswaramma Nagathi out of the pension drawn in the name of Doda Madikere Naik be increased to Rs 10.9.7 for both maintenance & residence and requests the Khazana Bakshi to arrange for disbursement accordingly.

6 To Eeswaramma nagathi, Akkipet, Bangalore city

No. Fl. 1675 & 20.9.'13

Informs her of the issue of order to Khazana Bakshi enhancing her monthly allce. to Rs 10.9.7 for both Maintenance & residence.

Ab + B
26/9/13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-9-12.

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

(Eswaramma Nagathi)

Sub?.

39) No date is mentioned in the file as to the date from which the enhancement of the monthly allowance to the petitioner is to come to effect. In practice it is understood that where no specific date is given, ~~orders regarding~~ the increase will have effect from the date of the order.

It is a point for consideration whether the date of order in this case is not the date from which the increase is to be given effect to.

16/9/13 — The increase will have effect from the date of order.

SM

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—20,000—14-7-13

To Secretary
for favour of submission 2
papers with draft reply
7.12.12 Ag

Rec'd 14/12

DIARY No. 2706

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Date of Receipt 7.12.12
Potn. Regr. No 100

1012-1013
SECTION Sta
FILE NO. 54-12
SERIAL NO. 1

To,

M. Visvesvaraya, Esq. B.A., F.C.E., L.C.E., M.I.C.E.,
F.B.U., C.I.E.,

Dewan of Mysore,

B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to the endorsement No. Fl. 5872/S and A 257-10 dated 29-5-1911, I beg to submit the following for your Honor's kind and generous consideration:-

1. The maintenance ordered to be given to me Viz., Rs8/- a month is not at all sufficient for my livlihood considering the position and respectability which my late husband had earned.

2. My step-son Dodda Madikari Naik, having made false representations against me in the presence of the Khazana Bakshi somehow and behind my back, made the latter officer to decide in his favour even without going through and perusing my several petitions.

3. At the time of my husband's demise, he was pleased to order his son orally to protect me very comfortably if I remained with him, and if not, to give me at least half the pension in my life every month for maintenance.

4. That before Gudikota Pollegar Pensioner Kesavama Nagati got a pension, the Government was pleased to pass orders to the effect that her brother-in-law the late Pampapathu Raj should pay her Rs25/- a month, and there are also several other decisions of the kind passed by the Government (Some of those are mentioned below) in favour of poor petitioners like myself.

(2

LIST OF CASES DECIDED.

Untraceable in the records

(a) In 1873, a maintenance of Rs15/- a month was ordered and granted to my mother-in-law Seebakkama Nagati, by my husband and his brothers.

(b) About 2 years ago Ramalingappa Naik, A Pollegar Pensioner of the Gumnaikan Palya Family, was directed to pay Rs15/6/- a month, to his sister-in-law Venkata-Narasamma, towards her maintenance, which now continues.

(c) Rangama Nagati, of the Molakalmuru Family is similarly getting Rs20/- a month from the Barawardar.

5. I beg to submit that my step-son Dodda Madakari Naik has given me immense trouble and annoyance and has also assaulted me on several occasions. These facts were never enquired into by the Khazana Bakshi though several times represented through petitions.

6. I cannot but trouble Your Honor to revise the order passed sanctioning Rs8/- for my maintenance.

7. Being a Ghosha Lady I hesitated and did not appear before Your Honor to represent all my grievances, and as my stomach is not fully fed, and my position is too lessened by the benign Government, I have entirely left my Ghoshaship, being ready if permitted, to be present myself before Your Honor, in order to crave before Your Honor my present circumstances and leave accordingly my respect and dignity etc., to your Honor for protection.

8. I beg to state that I represented my grievances before Your Honor's predecessor Dewan T. Ananda Rao, Esq., who to my misfortune, has confirmed his former decision without even ordering an enquiry (V. No. Fl 1174 /S & A 39-11 dated 18-9-1912.)

9. My step-son Dodamadikere Naik falsely says that he is

(3

1) is indebted.- Even granting that he is so entangled in debts I beg to submit, that he has no business to beat and oust me from his house, without taking his father's dying declaration orally given to him regarding my livlihood.

10. I further beg to state that my son has only a wife and two children under his protection. If my maintenance is increased, I submit, he will not be put to any inconvenience.

11. I have been living by contracting debts, ever since the order was passed. I beg to state that it is shameful to me to receive the sum ordered .

12. I have fully believed Government to be the mother of the subjects and especially to poor Pollegar pensioners of the State, and if Government would not hear my poor request ,I beg to state, that my only way, is to fall into a well and take out my life.

13. I beg, under such foregoing circumstances, that at least a sum of Rs15/- a month may be ordered to be given to me out of the pendion of my step-son and to issue orders to the
2 latter to give me a house to live in during my life.

14. For which act of kindness and generosity and for Your Honor's humble name and fame in the present Administration, I shall as in duty bound, ever pray for Your Honor's Long Life and Prosperity.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant and Pollegar Pensioner,

Thumb mark of Eswaramma Nagati of Chitaldurg Family.

Bangalore. ()
4-12-1912. ()

(4

G.R.S. 31]

No. 76713 / 5 R B 52-12-2

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.
GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Dated Bangalore, 12 May *191* 3

FROM

K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR, ESQ., M.A.,
Offg. Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Khazana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury, B A N G A L O R E.

SIR,

In forwarding herewith a petition dated the 4th December 1912 from Mrs. Easwaramma Nagathi , I am directed to request you to enquire into the matter of the petition fully and submit a report for t orders of Government.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Fair Copy

12-5-13

Offg: Secretary to Government.

A.V-2.

GPB—2,500—16-1-13.

(5

State Huzur Treasury,

Bangalore, 13th August 1913.

DIARY No 671

From

The Khazana Bakshi,

State Huzur Treasury,

Bangalore.

10/3-1914
SECTION 5K2
FILE NO. 5412
SERIAL No. 4

To

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

STATE HUZUR TREASURY 13 AUG. BANGALORE

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.FL.671B/S.&A.5412-2 dated 13th May 1913 directing an enquiry ino the matter of the petition of Eswarama Nagathi, I beg to submit as follows-

2. The delay in the submission of this report is due to the parties especially Dod Madakere Naik not appearing before me on the date fixed for enquiry. Eswarama Nagathi at last appeared in person and gave her statement hereto appended. Dod Madakere Naik has submitted a statement by post and states that the matter may be decided on it and without his presence being required. As I thought the two statements were enough to arrive at a decision in the case, I did not call upon the parties to produce evidence in support of their several allegations which are most of them irrelevant to the matter at issue. I now proceed to a consideration of the case.

3. Eswaramma's allegation in her petition are that

I. Her step-son Dod Madakere Naik gave her endless trouble while she lived with him and at last turned her out of the house.

II. His statement that he has got debt is false.

III. Her husband had at the time of his death orally ordered Dod Madakere Naik to protect her comfortably or give her

her half the pension for maintenance.

IV. That he induced the Khazana Bakshi by false representations to decide against her.

4. Now with regard to No. I ill-treatment I have already submitted in my report No. 9 dated 24th July 1911 that the allegation may taken to have been finally proved by the Mahazar dated 13th December 1910.-

5. With regard to No. II, Dod Madakere Naik indebtedness, it may be seen from Eswaramma's evidence that she reluctantly admits it though she says the debts were all contracted for immoral purposes. As Eswaramma is unable to mention any one who will bear out this allegation, I have thought it unnecessary to go into the matter any further than a little closer examination of Eswaramma herself. Also because as I have my doubt, whether the cause of ones indebtedness is so much of importance in the fixing of ones liability to maintenance, as the fact of the indebtedness itself. If however Government should be of a different opinion, Dod Madakere Naik having failed to be present with his witness, in spite of notice that the matter would be decided ex-parti, Eswaramma's allegation about the nature of the debts, may be allowed to stand.

6. As regards No. III, the death bed injunction of Eswaramma's husband to Dod Madakere Naik with respect to her future protection and payment of half pension by way of maintenance, it appears to me, that while such a thing, though there be, is utterly valueless, the evidence of Eswaramma on the point is exceedingly shaky. In her petition to the Dewan (para 3) she states that the injunction was oral while in her evidence before me she says that it was in writing. Further that it was not made in her presence but that she only heard of it later on. Thus it was mere hearsay, which her stepson seems to have contradicted and apparently set her mind at rest. It will thus be

seen

seen that the lady's memory on this point is entirely at fault. Besides, the fact, even if true, is of little value since deceased had no sort of power of disposition over the pension.

7. As regards allegation No. IV I do not think it calls for remarks.

In the end it appears to me that except on the point of ill-treatment, Eswarama has failed to substantiate her allegation.

8. Lastly, I venture to submit again that the principle by which cases such as that under consideration should go, seems to be, as already enunciated by me, that the amount of maintenance to be awarded to such secedant Pallegar ladies should be pitched sufficiently low to deter them from leaving the family on flimsy pretexts, while at the same time, however, it should suffice than to live without privation or hardship. In Eswaram's case the maintenance already granted may I think be increased to Rs.12/-.

SOA 25-7-10-34

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Khazana Bakshi,

State Huzur Treasury.

S.A.M.

In re petition of Eswaramma Nagalla
for interim maintenance & pendente lite
maintenance.

Before the Zamindar.

I have been petitioning for interim
maintenance & pendente lite maintenance.
for me and my children. He is not
giving me any money. He has got debts &
he has been paying the debts for the past
2 years. I do not know what debts
they have. None of the debts was on
my account. I don't know what debts
he may have. He has married only
once. There was no
other marriage. After my marriage
he has been borrowing as well - my self
and my mother had managed to save
and deposited 1000 Rs in the Bank. I don't
know the name of the Bank. It is in
Chitaloor. Dodmadekan Naik knows it.
He withdrew about 8 or 9 years ago.
He has squandered away and contracted
debts. There is no legitimate reason
for his running into debts. The reason
he has been getting is quite ample for
his livelihood. He has only one servant

finished up the papers. I came to know of the Event afterwards. my Sikh (Cook) was saying so. It would seem the entry was in a book. I did not see it. I asked my Step-son Dorinadaken Naik about it, but he denies any such thing.

SR now awarded is utterly insufficient for my Services. I have a Daughter whom I marry at a very distant place to me. I shall have to be going now and then. I am just now living with Parasuramappa Naik but I Cant soon living them for ever.

Adieu.

Taken down by me.

M Thomas Amirthan

2.7.13.

Exhibit 54.

Statement of

Shri Dasaratha Ramachandra Naik

(2)

(3).

(17)

15

Dear Sir,

We are pleased to inform you that we have opened a Savings Bank account in your name with our Bank. Your account number is 1234. We enclose herewith a cheque book and a pass book for your use.

We shall be glad to render you any service in connection with your account. We hope you will find our Bank convenient and helpful in your dealings.

Yours faithfully,

B.D. Madkaikar

Manager

12.7.13

(18

Regd. No: 185

ಶ್ರೀ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ತಮ್ಮ ಪತ್ರವು ಇಂದು ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಸಿಕ್ಕಿತು. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಗಮನಿಸಿದೆ. ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬರೆದಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗುವುದು. ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಮದಕರಿ ನಾಯಕ

12-7-13.

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಸಲಾಂ. ಮಾಡಿ ಬರೆಯುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಕಂತಿನ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದರಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ರಸೀದಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ. ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಮದಕರಿ ನಾಯಕ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳವರು ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳವರಿಗೆ ಸಲಾಂ. ಮಾಡಿ ಬರೆಯುವ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಏನೆಂದರೆ ಈ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೊದಲನೆಯ ಕಂತಿನ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದರಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿ ರಸೀದಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥನೆ. ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಮದಕರಿ ನಾಯಕ

12-7-13.

P Register 191

[illegible]

[illegible] C. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 12. 7. 13 [illegible]
[illegible] 13 [illegible]
[illegible] 12. 7. 1913 [illegible]
[illegible]

Mahadeo Nath

13. 7. 1913
[illegible]

2 Drafts

(15

G.R.S. 28]

1919

Sanctions to Eeswaramma
Nagal : of Thitaldrug Pallegar
Family both for maintenance
& residence a monthly allce.
of Rs 10.9.7-

File No. 54-12

Serial No. 5

Diary No. of letter replied to 671

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(S & A)

. the 191 .

W.

READ— again

G.O. No. 7. 860-1 dd 25-8-191
S&A 257-10-35

sanctioning a maintenance allow-

Date of issue 20-9-13

-ance of Rs 8 per Eeswaramma Nagal

Signed by W. L. Narasimhaiah

out of the pension of Rs 84-12-8 drawn

by her stepson Dodda Madikere

ORDER THEREON.—NO.

Naik of the Thitaldrug Pallegar

Family.

Read also

Paper under disposal No. 1

1) Petitions of 4th Dec 1912

Do. No. 2

& 16th April 1913 from Eeswaramma

over.

SUBJECT.

19

Eeswaramma Nagathi representing that owing to illtreatment by her stepson, she is compelled to live separate and praying that the maintenance allowance may be raised to Rs 15 or Rs 20 and her stepson asked to give her a house to live in during her life -

2) Correspondence ending with letter No. 139 G 13th Augt 1913 from the Khazanah Bakshi submitting the records of enquiry in the matter of Eeswaramma Nagathi's petitions and recommending that in the petitioner's case the allowance may be suitably raised ~~to Rs 15~~ as proposed by him.

Paper under disposal No 3

Order thereon; No H 1674 G 20 Septr 13

In modification of the readalwe, G.O. of 25th Augt 1911 Govt are pleased to direct that

GPB—20,000—2-10-11

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

the petitioner Eeswaramma Nagathi be paid ~~Rs~~ for her maintenance and residence a monthly allowance of Rs 10-9-7, equal to 1/8 of the pension of Rs 84-12-8 drawn in the name of Doddu Madikari Naik ~~deceased~~.

2. The Khazana Bakshi is requested to arrange for disbursement of the said pension hereafter accordingly.

Dated 17/9/13

For Secy to Govt Revs Revs Deptt

To The Khazana Bakshi, S. H Treasury

No RC 1695 dt 23-9-1913

Copy to Dy Commr, Chitaldrug for information.

(Sd) D L Narasimha Rao
For Secy

1+2 ✓ 2 Drafts (24

G.R.S. 34] 8/9 171

No. Fe 1675

GOVERNMENT OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

(S + A 54-12-6)

Office Memorandum.

To

the 20-9-1913

Easwaramma Nagathi,
of the Chitaldrug Pallegar Family,
Akkipet, Bangalore

With reference to her petitions of 4.12.12 & 16.4.1913 praying that her maintenance allowance of Rs 8 p.m. may be raised to Rs 15 & her stepson asked to give her a house ~~to live~~ to live in during her life, the petitioner is informed that after further consideration of the special circumstances of her case, the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja are pleased to direct that her allowances for both residence & maintenance be raised to Rs 10.9.7 p.m and that orders accordingly have been issued to the Khazana Bakshi.

By Order,

Dft DMU 8/9

SW For Secy to Govt,
Genl & Rev Dept

MM-67
16/9/13

GPB—10,000—18-8-10